



# Optical Testing of Mirrors for Giant Telescopes

## **Jim Burge**

College of Optical Sciences and Steward Observatory University of Arizona

jburge@optics.arizona.edu





- Introduce giant astronomical telescopes planning to be built in the next decade
- Summarize challenges of testing the mirrors for these telescopes

 Present technologies and capabilities developed at the University of Arizona that enable measurements of the mirrors

## The Giant Magellan Telescope

Site in Chile 2018 first light Consortium with UA



#### **GMT** Design



36 meters high, 25.3 meters across

25-m Primary mirror (f/0.7)

3.2-m segmented secondary mirror corrects for PM position errors deformable mirror for adaptive optics

Alt-Az structure ~1000 tons moving mass 21.3 m azimuth disk 21 m elevation C-ring

Steel + CFRP secondary support

Instruments mount below primary at the Gregorian focus



# Key optical testing challenges for GMT primary mirrors



## GMT Primary mirror segments

- Off-axis asphere with ~15 mm aspheric departure
- Segments must match in radius to work together correctly
- For 25-m diameter parent, 36 m ROC is needed

#### 14.5 mm departure from best fit sphere





## **GMT segments**



- Aspheric polishing with stressed lap
- Shaping relies on feedback from surface measurement





## Optical testing of GMT segments



## Heritage (LBT)

#### ~1.4 mm aspheric departure



Test wavefront defined to match aspheric shape of mirror

> Test optics

#### Axisymmetric

#### Test optics at ~20 meters

Light from optical test is only 200 mm diameter near the test optics – allows direct measurement of test system



#### ~14.5 mm aspheric departure



#### **No Axisymmetry**

Light path defined by GMT is much larger (~3.5 meters across at the top of our tower)



## Interferometric surface measurements







Test tower at Steward Observatory Mirror Lab





#### New tower

28 meters tall, 80 tons of steel floated on 400 ton concrete pad accommodates other UA projects (LBT, LSST) lowest resonance of 4.8 Hz with 9 ton 3.75-m fold sphere + cell J. H. Burge University of Arizona



## CGH test of small optics system



- CGH inserted into light coming from Sam for alignment test
- Reflection back through system is used to verify wavefront
- CGH mounted on invar plate with other references for M1 alignment





## Active system alignment relies on laser tracker



- Reference hologram is aligned to Sam. ٠ Then it is used to represent Sam.
- Laser tracker used to measure locations of ٠ Sam, fold sphere, GMT
- Fold sphere and GMT actively positioned to • ~100 um



Measures  $\rho$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$  to determine position to ~25 µm





#### J. H. Burge University of Arizona





#### Tilt the fold sphere to nadir





## 3.75 m fold sphere



- UA produced mirror, mounted at the top of the tower
- Shape is actively controlled based on surface measurements from the center of curvature



Polished, measured at the Mirror Lab

J. H. Burge University of Arizona

Coated at Kitt Peak



## **Operational test system**







100430 GMT1 plus0h morphed



J. H. Burge University of Arizona





## Scanning pentaprism test

## LOFT Targe Optics Fabrication & Testing

A

#### Uses the "magic" of the pentaprism



Pentaprism rail lies in plane perpendicular to parent axis.

Scanning pentaprism measures slope errors by producing collimated beams parallel to parent axis. Displacement of focused spot is measured with camera in focal plane. Scanning pentaprism test as implemented for GMT off-axis segments. Pentaprism rail is suspended from tower.



#### Pentaprism test of 1.7 m off-axis NST mirror



- 1/5 scale GMT pentaprism test (f/0.7 off axis paraboloid)
- The pentaprism test only samples lowest order aberrations
- The PP results corroborate the results from interferometry!



#### interferometric test

pentaprism measurement





**Laser Tracker Plus** 

#### **Guide initial figuring**





J. H. Burge University of Arizona



## **Ball positioning system**





GMT segment during a measurement with Laser Tracker Plus system



## Comparison of Laser Tracker with Interferometer



<u>Interferometry</u>



Laser Tracker (200 point sample)



**Difference** 



0.44 um rms

0.53 um rms

#### 0.48 um rms



## SCOTS : Software Configurable Optical Test System



## Concept from Roger Angel for measuring solar reflectors Applied to GMT measurements (Peng Su, Bob Parks, Tom Zobrist)

Uses simple technology -

LCD screen and CCD camera



UA President Shelton, Congresswoman Giffords and Prof. Angel beside collector







Camera view of grid pattern

Display grid pattern



Collector slope data



#### **Measurement Principle**



- SCOTS measures slope variations by looking at the reflection of a screen (computer monitor) from the surface under measurement.
- The measurement brightness variations are used to calculate surface slopes
- Integrate slope maps to get full surface maps



#### Line scanning

#### Image at CCD



#### Monitor image



#### **Fringe projection**











# Looking down at Sam and SCOTS





### **SCOTS Comparison with Interferometry**



# Wow!





difference 0.16 µm rms

All maps show surface error in microns. Circle indicates 8.4 m diameter.





- Uses proven mirror technology
- Challenges of optical testing has been solved
- Primary segment #1 nearing completion
- The casting of the second primary mirror segment is currently being planned



## Large Synoptic Survey Telescope





#### 8.4-m aperture

Uses three-mirror design to attain 3.5° field of view

15-sec exposures to survey the sky30TB of data per night!Plan for 2016 operation in Chile





**LSST PM-TM** 



- Spin cast blank at UA
- PM curvature from spinning, TM shape cut in with diamond generated







R = 19.8 m

## Null test of M1, M3





R = 8.3 m

Both sets of test optics are deployed on trolleys, can be removed

to avoid obscuring views from other test equipment.

## **The Thirty Meter Telescope**

1111

Led by Universities of California (formerly known as the *California Extremely Large Telescope*) Plan for Mauna Kea site 2018 first light



## **TMT optical challenges**



3.1-m convex secondary mirror

3.5-m flat tertiary mirror

30 meter f/1 primary mirror, made of 492 hexagonal segments



## The European Extremely Large Telescope

42-m aperture

European Southern Observatories

2018 First light



## **E-ELT telescope**



6 meter convex aspheric secondary mirror

11-11

42-meter f/1 segmented primary mirror

(plus other mirrors)



## **Measurement of large flat mirrors**





TMT tertiary: Flat mirror: 3.5 x 2.5 meter

Conventional test of large flats uses Ritchey-Common test, not practical



Measurement difficulties are solved by technologies developed and proven at UA



## **1-m vibration insensitive interferometer**



(J. Yellowhair, P33su)



7 nm rms





## **UA Fizeau test for TMT tertiary**



#### Layout of subapertures



3 nm rms typical measurement noise



Monte Carlo analysis to evaluate coupling of alignment, noise to surface reconstruction

5.5 nm rms measurement accuracy with proven UA hardware!





## Scanning pentaprism test for flat mirrors





 Demonstrated performance is 0.2 µrad rms

THE UNIVERSITY OF

**TUCSON ARIZONA** 

 Power measurement for 1.6-m flat was 11 nm rms



J. H. Burge University of Arizona



## **Measurement of large convex aspheres**







<u>Convex secondary mirrors</u>
TMT secondary: 3.1-m
LSST secondary: 3.4-m
E-ELT secondary: 6-m
Conventional test of such mirrors uses the Hindle test, not practical at these sizes



LSST SM blank : ULE



## **Swingarm Optical CMM**



- Scans surface with optical displacement probe
- Continuous arc scans create profiles
- Profiles stitched together to give surface maps
- Works for convex or concave surface





#### J. H. Burge University of Arizona

#### THE UNIVERSITY OF **ZONA** Surface maps from SOC data for 1.4-m off axis asphere **TUCSON ARIZONA**

Surface

in µm



Pattern of 64 scans



rms=6nm 0.02 0.015 0.01 measurement 0.005 0 -0.005 -0.01 -0.015 -0.02 --50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 Encoder angle in degrees

Repeatability ~ 6 nm rms/scan



#### Repeatable errors of 34 nm rms are calibrated out J. H. Burge University of Arizona

(P. Su)

0.06

0.04

0.02

-0.02

-0.04

200





- Original system built at Optical Sciences was made with 3.8-m capacity.
- This was designed to be integrated with 4-m polishing machine
- Performance is expected to be < 20 nm rms at this size



THE UNIVERSITY OF

**TUCSON ARIZONA** 



#### THE UNIVERSITY OF RIZONA TUCSON ARIZONA Fizeau interferometry for large secondary mirrors





Reference surface on test plate has concave matching asphere for off axis portion of the secondary mirror

We have also developed concept for using a spherical reference, corrected with CGH J. H. Burge University of Arizona



## **TMT** primary mirror



## 30–m f/1 near paraboloid 492 segments





#### 1.44-m segments, 45 mm thick

Each is supported by 27-point whiffle tree, warped with 21 actuators Segment tip/tilt/piston adjusted with 3 position actuators, based on edge sensors



## **E-ELT primary mirror**





984 segments





1.43-m segments, 50 mm thick

Each is supported by 18 or 27-point whiffle tree

Segment tip/tilt/piston adjusted with 3 position actuators, based on edge sensors





- Off-axis aspheres, with different prescription (curvature changes from center to edge)
- To work together, the radius of curvature must match. Power is treated as a figure error
- Must be efficient, limiting setup, alignment, and test time
- Measurement accuracy of 5 nm rms is required

## Other important issues:

- Efficient fabrication of hundreds of mirror segments
- Complex support for each segment
- Active shape and position control for each segment





# CGH Fizeau test for primary mirror segments







- Common path low noise
- Radius matching is easy, all segments compared with the same reference
- Detailed engineering analysis for TMT, E-ELT predicts 14 nm rms overall accuracy, 5 nm rms after some low order correction





#### UA achieved very low noise measurements with CGH Fizeau system





Excellent fringe visibility Excellent spatial resolution Overall accuracy of < 4 nm rms < 1 nm rms noise per measurement (average of 50 maps) Verified effects of straie in glass to cause < 1 nm rms Largest sources of error: ghost reflection in CGH, coating irregularity on fold flat

J. H. Burge University of Arizona

#### THE UNIVERSITY OF **RIZONA** Comparison of Fizeau, SOC for off-axis aspheres TUCSON ARIZONA



- The Fizeau test was budgeted as < 3.3 nm rms uncertainty, after correction for low order terms.
- SOC measurements of the OAPs are consistent with this.



Largest errors in Fizeau came from coating defect on large fold flat 1 nm rms ghost fringes 1 nm rms



## What next?



## Combine several elements:

- Fizeau interferometry with spherical reference, corrected by CGH
- Vibration insensitive interferometry using polarization

Design for such a test for LSST was presented last summer. Prototype work is underway.



(M. Dubin)



## Conclusion



- University of Arizona technology is enabling the Giant Magellan Telescope and the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope
- We are prepared to support TMT and E-ELT if those projects move forward.

